| 1 | | |
|----|--|------------------------------|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON | |
| 7 | AT SEATTLE | |
| 8 | RAMON SILVA, | |
| 9 | Plaintiff, | Case No. C19-619-RAJ-MLP |
| 10 | V. | ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS' |
| 11 | TROY BACON, et al., | MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME |
| 12 | Defendants. | |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | This is a civil rights action proceeding under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This matter comes before | |
| 15 | the Court at this time on Defendants' motion for an extension of time to file a supplemental | |
| 16 | response to Plaintiff's pending motions for preliminary injunction. Plaintiff has thus far filed two | |
| 17 | motions for preliminary injunction in this action. (See Dkt. ## 7, 9.) Plaintiff failed to properly | |
| 18 | note his first motion in accordance with Local Civil Rule 7(b)(1) and it therefore does not | |
| 19 | currently appear on the Court's motion calendar. (Dkt. # 7.) Plaintiff noted his second motion on | |
| 20 | the Court's calendar for consideration on July 5, 2019. (Dkt. # 9.) | |
| 21 | On June 24, 2019, Defendants filed a response opposing Plaintiff's first, unnoted, motion | |
| 22 | for preliminary injunction. (Dkt. # 16.) Defendants have yet to respond to Plaintiff's second | |
| 23 | | |
| | ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME - 1 | |
| | | |

motion for preliminary injunction as they were apparently unaware that two such motions had 1 been filed. (See Dkt. # 19 at 2.) Defendants now seek an extension of time to respond to the 2 second motion. (Id.) Plaintiff has not opposed the requested extension. As the Court deems a 3 complete response from Defendants necessary before it can properly address Plaintiff's motions 4 for preliminary injunction, the Court will grant Defendants some additional time to supplement 5 their original response. 6 Based on the foregoing, the Court ORDERS as follows: 7 (1) Defendants' motion for an extension of time to file a supplemental response to 8 Plaintiff's motions for preliminary injunction (dkt. # 19) is GRANTED. Defendants shall file 9 their supplemental response not later than July 29, 2019. 10 (2) Plaintiff's motions for preliminary injunction (dkt. ## 7, 9) are RE-NOTED on the 11 Court's calendar for consideration on *August 2, 2019*. Plaintiff must file any reply brief in 12 support of his motions by that date. 13 14 (3) The Clerk is directed to send copies of this Order to Plaintiff, to counsel for Defendants, and to the Honorable Richard A. Jones. 15 Dated this 22nd day of July, 2019. 16 17 Meliso 18 19 MICHELLE L. PETERSON United States Magistrate Judge 20 21 22 23 ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS'

MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME - 2